COURT FEE भारत INDIA FIVE RUPEES

COURT FEE भारत INDIA FIVE RUPEES

(13)

FILED

(1)

Cf 15/-

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 13 OF 2004. Single Bench

PETITIONER :- J.P. Singh, S/o Late R.D. Singh, aged about 51 years, Dy. Manager(Marketing) I.B.P. Co. Ltd., Vinoba Nagar, Police Station Tarbahar, Bilaspur(Chhattisgarh)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENT~~S~~ CONTEMPTONER~~S~~ :- P.R. Nambiar, Executive Director(SP & E) I.B.P. Co. Ltd., "IBP House", 34-A Nirmal Chand Street, Kolkata (W.B).

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक Cont.Pet. 18/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

19-3-2004

Shri H.B. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India with the prayer that the respondent has not complied with this Court's order dated 28-11-2003 and, therefore, contempt notice be issued against respondent.

While disposing of the writ petition No.3553/2003 on 28-11-2003 this Court passed an order that the 'petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to the respondent and the respondent was directed to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner and decide the same within 30 days with a reasoned order'. Thereafter, the petitioner made a representation to the respondent and vide order (Annexure-P/5) dated 26-12-2003 the representation of the petitioner was rejected after considering the same.

A perusal of the order (Annexure-P/5) reveals that "in view of the order of Hon'ble High Court, Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur dated 28-11-2003 in the W.P. No.3553/2003 your case has once again considered by the undersigned for its merits. While examining it is seen that your Services are urgently required for administrative exigency at the company's SMS unit at Kudremukh. Considering all the aspects and taking into consideration the administrative need at Kudremukh, it is once again informed that for the

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

aforesaid reasons your representation dated 3.12.2003 is hereby regretted."

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is working as a Marketing Officer and taking treatment under the supervision of Neuro-physician whereas, he has been transferred in the Chemical Engineering Branch and this aspect has not been considered by the respondent.

A perusal of the order Annexure-P/5 reveals that after considering the representation made by the petitioner, order has been passed, therefore, I am of the opinion that no contempt has been committed by the respondent.

The petition is without any basis and the same is dismissed.

In view of this order, I.A. Nos.639/2004 & 640/2004 stand disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Barve

[पीछे देखिये